## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of A	)			
v.		Casa Na	5.00CD 70 4	
DOTTIE NIXON		Case No: USM No:	5:99CR70-4 15138-058	
Date of Original Judgment:	August 13, 2001	) 05111 110.	13130 030	
Date of Last Amended Judgment:	N/A	Thomas Cochran		
	,	Defendant's Attorney		
Order Regardin	g Motion for Sentence Re	duction Pursu	ant to 18 U.S.C	. § 3582(c)(2)
Upon motion of ■ the o § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the subsequently been lowered and m § 994(u), and having considered s and the sentencing factors set forth	ade retroactive by the Unite uch motion, and taking into	osed based on d States Sente account the p	a guideline sente neing Commissic olicy statement so	ncing range that has on pursuant to 28 U.S.C. et forth at USSG §1B1.10
	n is: NTED and the defendant's p gment issued) of		osed sentence of ed to	*
I. COURT DETERMINATION	· · ·			
Original Offense Level: 42	OF GUIDELINE KANG	•	ffense Level:	42
Criminal History Category: II			story Category:	II
Original Guideline Range: 360	to 480 months	Amended G	uideline Range:	360 to 480 months
<ul> <li>II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.</li> <li>□ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.</li> <li>□ The reduced sentence is above the amended guideline range.</li> <li>■ Other (explain): The application of Amendment 750 provides no change in the guideline calculations in this case.</li> </ul>				
III. ADDITIONAL COMMEN	TS			
Except as provided above, all prov	visions of the judgment date	ed August 13	3, 2001, sh	all remain in effect.
IT IS SO ORDERED.				
Order Date: September 10, 2	2012	Mul	May	Voorhus
Effective Date: (if different from o	rder date)		L. Voorhees States District Jud	ge